

## Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC's project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:

- Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.

- Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.

- Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:

- This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.

- For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.

- For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.

- Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.

- All questions marked with an asterisk (\*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click [here](#).

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** NJ-510 - Lakewood Township/Ocean County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** Ending Homelessness Group

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency

## 1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board. Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC's geographic area.**

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in CoC Meetings	Votes, including electing CoC Board	Sits on CoC Board
Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	No
Law Enforcement	No	No	No
Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hospital(s)	No	No	No
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	No	No	No
Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Public Housing Authorities	No	No	No
CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	No	No
CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	No
Youth advocates	Yes	Yes	No
Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	No
Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes

**1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.**

The Homeless Prevention and Assistance Coalition (HPAC), the CoC meets bi-monthly with monthly subcommittee meetings. HPAC includes 30 member organizations and advocates. Full membership and subcommittee meetings are open to all interested parties. Meeting notices are sent via email to various list serves and posted on the CoC website.

The Executive Board approves all subcommittees ps with input from the CoC membership. The Ocean County Dept. of Human Services, with community advocates and the United Way, planned and held a community mapping session where invitations were extended to all service organizations in the region to discuss the flow and effectiveness of the current homeless system. The event was attended by over 50 stakeholders and gave recommendations of areas of focus for the CoC. From the community mapping recommendations, the Executive committee assigned committees to address the coordinated entry system, and developed a transportation committee.

**1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.**

Youth Service Provider (up to 10)	RHY Funded?	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.
Ocean's Harbor House	Yes	Yes	No

**1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC**

**Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.**

Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.
Catholic Charities Providence House	Yes	Yes

**1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC announced a request for proposal on June 6, 2016. The request went out via various list serves and was posted on the CoC website. Applications are accepted from both funded and non-funded CoC agencies and technical assistance is provided by the CoC Lead Agency to interested agencies via email and phone for any questions related to eligible funding activities, the local selection process, and criteria for submitting applications. The request for proposals included the application, scoring tool and local funding priorities. The scoring tool evaluates agency experience, project design, leveraging and program goals. New and renewal projects are ranked based on the percentage of points awarded for the project according to the appropriate scoring tool. The CoC also evaluates the efficacy of funding low scoring renewal projects, and unspent funds in renewals to determine the level of funding available for higher scoring new projects through bonus and reallocation funding.

**1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation?** Bi-Monthly

## 1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC's geographic area.**

Funding or Program Source	Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
Head Start Program	Yes
Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.	Yes

**1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC's geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.**

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

	Number
Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps	4
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?	1
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?	1
How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?	0

**1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC's geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s). (limit 1000 characters)**

The Ocean County Division of Planning is responsible for one of the con-plan jurisdictions in the region. The Division of planning is an active member of the CoC and participates in the bi-monthly meetings. In addition, the CoC provides information on the strategies to address homelessness and data necessary for completion of the con-plan reports. Interactions include attendance at meetings, phone calls and emails. The CoC and Con-plan jurisdiction meet 2.5 hours every other month.

**1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities. (limit 1000 characters)**

The State of New Jersey Department of Community Affairs is the ESG recipient covering the Ocean CoC region. DCA hosts conference calls and provides an opportunity for community stakeholders to submit written comments related to their funding priorities and anticipated allocations per eligible activities. In addition, DCA requires all applicants for ESG funds to submit a letter of support from the CoC as evidence that the project is in line with local policies and planning. The CoC participates in the DCA public comment opportunities and provides letters of support to projects seeking funding through the ESG program after careful evaluation of the agency and program.

The CoC does not currently have any ESG funded programs within its region. However, should local programs receive ESG funding, the coC shall apply local performance standards to those programs and review project progress in accordance with the CoC approved standards that are also applied to CoC funded programs.

**1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld. (limit 1000 characters)**

Catholic Charities Providence House operates the sole DV program in the CoC. The agency receives funding for shelter, transitional housing and services from the Dept of Justice and is a member of the CoC.

All DV victims are referred to the DV hotline, operated by Providence House, and assessed for their level of safety risk and needs for DV specific services.

Households without an immediate DV issue may be referred back to the general homeless system for shelter and other services. When the local DV shelter is full and a client's safety is at risk, Providence House has reciprocal relationships with other DV programs and may refer/place the client in DV shelters located in other CoC/county regions. Providence house maintains an internal database in which they collect client information but does not contain identifiable client information. The agency provides aggregate reports to the CoC regarding the needs of the community at bi-monthly CoC meetings.

**1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.**

Public Housing Agency Name	% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry	PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	5.00%	Yes-HCV
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Program	0.00%	No
Housing Authority of the Township of Lakewood	0.00%	No
Brick Housing Authority	0.00%	No
Berkeley Housing Authority	0.00%	No

**If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.**

**1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)**

In the CoC region there are several mental health service providers that receive funding through state programs for housing and services targeted towards those at risk of hospitalization in state mental health institutions. The "At Risk" vouchers are used to serve the homeless population with mental health issues.

In New Jersey LIHTC projects are incentivized to set aside at least 5 units for homeless and/or special needs populations. Since the development of this incentive 11 new tax credit projects have been funded creating 55 new units set aside for the homeless and special needs populations.

O.C.E.A.N. Inc., an active CoC member organization, is working to develop 24 units of affordable and supportive "tiny" homes in the CoC region. These homes will include a priority for homeless veterans and will also be available to



the general homeless population.

The CoC is working with new project developments to ensure a set aside of homeless units are included in the projects.

**1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC's geographic area. Select all that apply.**

Engaged/educated local policymakers:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engaged/educated law enforcement:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implemented communitywide plans:	<input type="checkbox"/>
No strategies have been implemented	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other:(limit 1000 characters)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.**

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.**

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons**

**discharged are not discharged into homelessness.  
(limit 1000 characters)**

## **1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)**

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD's primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.**

**1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services.  
(limit 1000 characters)**

The Permanent Housing Committee has developed the framework for a Single Point of Exit (SPOE) out of homelessness. The system will feature a single agency responsible for completing the CoC assessment for all households experiencing homelessness. The assessment will be conducted at drop-in locations, service locations, and accessible by phone. Upon completion of the assessment, the Placement Agency will maintain a priority list of households seeking housing and make referrals to programs with vacancies as appropriate based on eligibility and order of priority.

Ocean County encompasses a relatively large geographic area so the SPOE will include mobile outreach, coordination with community providers and faith based institutions strategically located geographically throughout the region and will be accessible by phone. The SPOE will prioritize households with the longest histories of homelessness and most severe needs in accordance with the CoC prioritization policy.

**1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there**

**are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.**

Organization/Person Categories	Participate s in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation	Makes Referrals to the Coordinate d Entry Process	Receives Referrals from the Coordinate d Entry Process	Operates Access Point for Coordinate d Entry Process	Participate s in Case Conferenci ng	Does not Participate	Does not Exist
Local Government Staff/Officials	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law Enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Jail(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Housing Authorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Street Outreach Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# 1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

## Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

### 1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC's review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	7
How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet?	0
How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	7
Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition?	100.00%

### 1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

<b>Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:</b>	
% permanent housing exit destinations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
% increases in income	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Monitoring criteria:</b>	
Utilization rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Drawdown rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Need for specialized population services:

Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Victims of Domestic Violence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Families with Children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Veterans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC assigned bonus points to projects serving the chronically homeless. Renewals demonstrated they were serving the chronically homeless through HMIS information. Up to 5 bonus points were assigned based on the ratio of chronically homeless participants served. New projects could receive up to 8 points for serving a priority population. Projects had to identify the target population and any prioritization used in the program narrative.

Points were also awarded to programs with low barriers and using a housing first model. Renewals got up to 28 points and new projects got up to 8 points. Low barrier, housing first projects received more points as they are better poised to serve a high needs population.

When evaluating performance for renewals, adjustments were made to the income measures to account for participants with SSI/SSDI. Participants with SSI/SSDI were not included in the earned income performance measures for all renewal projects.

**1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached. (limit 750 characters)**

The CoC announced the local application process via email and posting on the CoC website on June 6, 2016. The posting and email announcement included the CoC funding priorities, application, and scoring criteria. The scoring for renewal projects was based on program performance, monitoring results, and the project application. This information was sent directly to all renewal programs via email and posted on the CoC website and included details of the monitoring process, project application and scoring tool

**1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC's full membership must be attached).** 09/12/2016

**1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached.)** Yes

**1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached.)** 08/10/2016

**1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC's FY 2016 CoC's FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW?** Yes



# 1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

## Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

### 1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients. (limit 1000 characters)

The monitoring policy includes an on-site and off-site monitoring in alternating years. Standard monitoring tools are used for the process. In FY2016 the CoC completed an on-site monitoring. The monitoring included interviews with program staff, review of program files, and review of HMIS data. The monitoring tool reviewed: compliance with HUD regulations (ie policy manuals, conflict of interest policy), program administration (timely drawdowns, adequate backup documentation for financial draws, clean program/agency audit), and serving eligible participants (documentation of homelessness & disability, income & rent calculation documentation etc). HMIS data regarding serving eligible populations, utilization rates, stability in permanent housing, destination at program exit, and connection to income and mainstream benefits was evaluated. Projects submitted copies of the most recently submitted APRs and print-outs from eLOCCS to demonstrate timely reporting and drawdown of funds.

**1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing?** Yes

## **2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation**

**Intructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2A-1. Does the CoC have a Governance Charter that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead, either within the Charter itself or by reference to a separate document like an MOU/MOA? In all cases, the CoC's Governance Charter must be attached to receive credit, In addition, if applicable, any separate document, like an MOU/MOA, must also be attached to receive credit.** Yes

**2A-1a. Include the page number where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document referenced in 2A-1. In addition, in the textbox indicate if the page number applies to the CoC's attached governance charter or attached MOU/MOA.** MOU 3-7

**2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? If yes, in order to receive credit the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual must be attached to the CoC Application.** Yes

**2A-3. Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organization (CHOs)?** Yes

**2A-4. What is the name of the HMIS software** AWARDS

**used by the CoC (e.g., ABC Software)?**

**2A-5. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor (e.g., ABC Systems)?** Foothold Technologies

## 2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

### Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2B-1. Select the HMIS implementation coverage area:** Multiple CoCs

**\* 2B-2. In the charts below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.**

### 2B-2.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

Funding Source	Funding
CoC	\$0
ESG	\$0
CDBG	\$0
HOME	\$0
HOPWA	\$0
<b>Federal - HUD - Total Amount</b>	<b>\$0</b>

### 2B-2.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

Funding Source	Funding
Department of Education	\$0
Department of Health and Human Services	\$0
Department of Labor	\$0
Department of Agriculture	\$0
Department of Veterans Affairs	\$0
Other Federal	\$0
<b>Other Federal - Total Amount</b>	<b>\$0</b>

### 2B-2.3 Funding Type: State and Local

Funding Source	Funding
----------------	---------

City	\$0
County	\$6,000
State	\$0
<b>State and Local - Total Amount</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>

**2B-2.4 Funding Type: Private**

Funding Source	Funding
Individual	\$0
Organization	\$0
<b>Private - Total Amount</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**2B-2.5 Funding Type: Other**

Funding Source	Funding
Participation Fees	\$9,000
<b>Other - Total Amount</b>	<b>\$9,000</b>

<b>2B-2.6 Total Budget for Operating Year</b>	<b>\$15,000</b>
---	-----------------

## 2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2C-1. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2016 HIC data in HDX, (mm/dd/yyyy):** 05/02/2016

**2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) Indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.**

Project Type	Total Beds in 2016 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
Emergency Shelter (ESG) beds	56	27	1	3.45%
Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
Transitional Housing (TH) beds	60	39	12	57.14%
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	12	0	12	100.00%
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	161	0	112	69.57%
Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	0	0	0	

**2C-2a. If the bed coverage rate for any project type is below 85 percent, describe how the CoC plans to increase the bed coverage rate for each of these project types in the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters)**

Within Emergency Shelter bed, there are a number of faith based programs that shelter the homeless that are not currently on HMIS due to the cost of the system and the lack of staffing to manage HMIS data entry.

For Permanent Housing programs, there are a number of HUD VASH beds that are not currently entered in HMIS and will not be for the foreseeable future.

The CoC Data subcommittee reviews HMIS coverage rates and has begun to address the low coverage rates in emergency shelter beds. The committee has begun conversations with homeless service agencies to identify the primary barriers to HMIS data entry. The committee is exploring various options to include the faith based shelter beds in HMIS.

**2C-3. If any of the project types listed in question 2C-2 above have a coverage rate below 85 percent, and some or all of these rates can be attributed to beds covered by one of the following program types, please indicate that here by selecting all that apply from the list below.**

VA Grant per diem (VA GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
VASH:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Faith-Based projects/Rescue mission:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Youth focused projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Voucher beds (non-permanent housing):	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOPWA projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2C-4. How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage?** Bi-Monthly

## 2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2D-1. Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values and the percentage of "Client Doesn't Know" or "Client Refused" within the last 10 days of January 2016.**

Universal Data Element	Percentage Null or Missing	Percentage Client Doesn't Know or Refused
3.1 Name	0%	0%
3.2 Social Security Number	2%	1%
3.3 Date of birth	0%	1%
3.4 Race	1%	5%
3.5 Ethnicity	4%	2%
3.6 Gender	0%	0%
3.7 Veteran status	9%	3%
3.8 Disabling condition	3%	5%
3.9 Residence prior to project entry	12%	9%
3.10 Project Entry Date	0%	0%
3.11 Project Exit Date	0%	0%
3.12 Destination	40%	2%
3.15 Relationship to Head of Household	1%	0%
3.16 Client Location	0%	0%
3.17 Length of time on street, in an emergency shelter, or safe haven	8%	1%

**2D-2. Identify which of the following reports your HMIS generates. Select all that apply:**

CoC Annual Performance Report (APR):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ESG Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) table shells:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>



None	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

**2D-3. If you submitted the 2016 AHAR, how many AHAR tables (i.e., ES-ind, ES-family, etc) were accepted and used in the last AHAR?**

8

**2D-4. How frequently does the CoC review data quality in the HMIS?**

Bi-Monthly

**2D-5. Select from the dropdown to indicate if standardized HMIS data quality reports are generated to review data quality at the CoC level, project level, or both.**

Both Project and CoC

**2D-6. From the following list of federal partner programs, select the ones that are currently using the CoC's HMIS.**

VA Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VA Grant and Per Diem (GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2D-6a. If any of the Federal partner programs listed in 2D-6 are not currently entering data in the CoC's HMIS and intend to begin entering data in the next 12 months, indicate the Federal partner program and the anticipated start date. (limit 750 characters)**

## 2E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**The data collected during the PIT count is vital for both CoC's and HUD. HUD needs accurate data to understand the context and nature of homelessness throughout the country, and to provide Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with information regarding services provided, gaps in service, and performance. Accurate, high quality data is vital to inform Congress' funding decisions.**

- 2E-1. Did the CoC approve the final sheltered PIT count methodology for the 2016 sheltered PIT count?** Yes
- 2E-2. Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered PIT count: (mm/dd/yyyy)** 01/26/2016
- 2E-2a. If the CoC conducted the sheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, was an exception granted by HUD?** Not Applicable
- 2E-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered PIT count data in HDX: (mm/dd/yyyy)** 05/02/2016

## 2F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2F-1. Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2016 PIT count:**

Complete Census Count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2F-2. Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:**

HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interview of sheltered persons:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2F-3. Provide a brief description of your CoC's sheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected its sheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC utilized a complete census count of the sheltered population using client level surveys and HMIS data to complete the count. Each shelter provider completed a program level survey to identify the total number of people

served on the night of the count. The number of client level surveys submitted was compared to the program level survey to ensure accuracy of the client level survey coverage.

Both HMIS and the client level surveys contained identifying information that allowed for comparison of surveys and de-duplication. The CoC selected this method as it provided the most accurate way of collecting information about the total number of people served and the population characteristics.

**2F-4. Describe any change in methodology from your sheltered PIT count in 2015 to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to the implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the PIT count). (limit 1000 characters)**

Not applicable

**2F-5. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count?** No

**2F-5a. If "Yes" in 2F-5, then describe the change in provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count. (limit 750 characters)**

## 2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2G-1. Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered PIT count:**

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Follow-up:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-HMIS de-duplication techniques:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2G-2. Describe any change to the way your CoC implemented its sheltered PIT count from 2015 to 2016 that would change data quality, including changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the sheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to actual sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation methods). (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC coordinated an increased number of volunteers for the Point in Time Count. With this increase in volunteers, the CoC provided extensive training to ensure all sheltering agencies understood the purpose of the survey and the process for completing it.

## **2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count**

### **Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**HUD requires CoCs to conduct an unsheltered PIT count every 2 years (biennially) during the last 10 days in January; however, HUD also strongly encourages CoCs to conduct the unsheltered PIT count annually at the same time that they conduct annual sheltered PIT counts. HUD required CoCs to conduct the last biennial PIT count during the last 10 days in January 2015.**

**2H-1. Did the CoC approve the final unsheltered PIT count methodology for the most recent unsheltered PIT count?** Yes

**2H-2. Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy):** 01/26/2016

**2H-2a. If the CoC conducted the unsheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, or most recent count, was an exception granted by HUD?** Not Applicable

**2H-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyyy):** 05/02/2016

## 2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2I-1. Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2016 or most recent PIT count:**

Night of the count - complete census:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - known locations:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - random sample:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service-based count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2I-2. Provide a brief description of your CoC's unsheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected this unsheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)**

The unsheltered count was completed through administration of client level surveys to all persons encountered in known locations on the night of the count. In addition the CoC completed service based counts at local project homeless connect events, soup kitchens and drop-in centers.

The methodology of known locations and service based counts was selected because of the suburban nature of the region. This method allowed for the best use of the limited number of volunteers available and the most effective coverage of the region.

A client level survey was completed with every unsheltered person in order to accurately identify the total population and collect population characteristics. In addition, the survey contained identifying information to allow for accurate de-duplication.

**2I-3. Describe any change in methodology from your unsheltered PIT count in 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the count). (limit 1000 characters)**

Not Applicable

**2I-4. Has the CoC taken extra measures to identify unaccompanied homeless youth in the PIT count?** Yes

**2I-4a. If the response in 2I-4 was "no" describe any extra measures that are being taken to identify youth and what the CoC is doing for homeless youth. (limit 1000 characters)**



## 2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**2J-1. Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2016 unsheltered PIT count:**

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
"Blitz" count:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unique identifier:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Survey questions:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Enumerator observation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**2J-2. Describe any change to the way the CoC implemented the unsheltered PIT count from 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016 that would affect data quality. This includes changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the unsheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes in actual methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation method). (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC expanded the use of project homeless connect events and survey sites to increase the coverage of the unsheltered PIT count. New service agencies and volunteers were engaged in the planning and implementation of the PIT count and extensive training was provided to ensure all volunteers were prepared to administer the PIT survey.

## 3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

### Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

### 3A-1. Performance Measure: Number of Persons Homeless - Point-in-Time Count.

#### \* 3A-1a. Change in PIT Counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons

Using the table below, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at a Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).

	2015 PIT (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016 PIT	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	605	429	-176
Emergency Shelter Total	561	306	-255
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	27	69	42
Total Sheltered Count	588	375	-213
Total Unsheltered Count	17	54	37

#### 3A-1b. Number of Sheltered Persons Homeless - HMIS.

Using HMIS data, enter the number of homeless persons who were served in a sheltered environment between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015 for each category provided.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	814
Emergency Shelter Total	911
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	37

### 3A-2. Performance Measure: First Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless for the first time. Specifically, describe what the CoC is doing to identify risk factors of becoming homeless.

**(limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC data committee reviews PIT and HMIS data on the cause of homelessness, income and disability for first time homeless households to determine common factors. This information is shared at the full membership CoC meetings for general discussion with prevention providers, advocates, and other service providers in the community.

The CoC is looking into the development of a diversion committee that will explore strategies to reduce first time homelessness in partnership with the prevention program providers in the community

**3A-3. Performance Measure: Length of Time Homeless.**

**Describe the CoC’s efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how your CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.**

**(limit 1000 characters)**

The data committee reviews HMIS and PIT reports on length of homelessness and length of program stay to determine the prevalence of long episodes of homelessness in the region. This information is shared with the CoC full membership committee.

The CoC has adopted the HUD order of priority for housing placement that looks to serve those with the longest histories of homelessness and most severe needs in permanent housing placement first. The CoC is working to secure housing opportunities through local PHAs, multifamily unit providers and tax credit programs that will address the needs of those households with the longest histories of homelessness.

In addition, the CoC is working to develop a Single Point of Exit that will assess households based on the severity of need and length of homelessness and create a communitywide prioritization list for placement in permanent housing that seeks to serve those with the longest histories of homelessness as a first priority.

**\* 3A-4. Performance Measure: Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention.**

**In the next two questions, CoCs must indicate the success of its projects in placing persons from its projects into permanent housing.**

**3A-4a. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations:**

**Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-subsidized) or the**

**retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.**

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in SSO, TH and PH-RRH who exited	0
Of the persons in the Universe above, how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	0
<b>% Successful Exits</b>	<b>0.00%</b>

**3A-4b. Exit To or Retention Of Permanent Housing:  
In the chart below, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC funded permanent housing project, except rapid re-housing projects, to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 31, 2015.**

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	110
Of the persons in the Universe above, indicate how many of those remained in applicable PH projects and how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	109
<b>% Successful Retentions/Exits</b>	<b>99.09%</b>

**3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)**

The data committee and the CoC executive committee review returns to homelessness based on HMIS data. Between 2014 & 2015 the rate of returns to homelessness was 2% within a 1 year timeframe, and 4% within a 2 year timeframe.

The data committee will review HMIS data more closely to determine if any factors increase the probability of a household's return to homelessness and develop a profile for those that may need additional supports

The CoC Executive Committee reviews program discharges for all programs and is working with community agencies to reduce the number of discharges for lack of program compliance.

The CoC is working to identify additional community based supports households can be connected to for assistance with maintaining stability in permanent housing if they are not connected to a PSH program.

**3A-6. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth.**

**Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Describe the CoC's specific strategies to assist CoC Program-funded projects to increase program participants' cash income from employment and non-employment non-cash sources. (limit 1000 characters)**

As a local process, all community agencies connect participants with the mainstream benefits agency to ensure households served are connected to the income and benefits for which they are eligible. All CoC funded agencies connect participants to the Board of Social Services and assist participants through the process of applying for benefits. CoC funded programs work with all participants on increasing income and benefits as appropriate for the participant household. Where possible, the CoC funded programs connect participants to supported employment activities and employment support services to help increase their ability to gain employment.

The executive committee monitors the rate of connection to employment income and benefits for CoC funded programs annually and works through the data committee to ensure accurate report of income and benefits data in HMIS.

**3A-6a. Describe how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to aid homeless individuals and families in increasing their income. (limit 1000 characters)**

The two primary mainstream employment agencies in the region are the Ocean County Workforce Investment Board and the Ocean County PIC which jointly operate the One Stop Career Center offering training and employment for economically disadvantaged, displaced or dislocated workers, on-the job training, welfare recipients and youth employment training.

**3A-7. What was the the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC's unsheltered PIT count? (limit 1000 characters)**

There are 3 outreach teams that cover 3 different geographic areas in the community and conduct outreach through service based connections and known/suspected street & encampment locations. The street outreach teams routinely connect clients to the Board of Social Services so that those living on the street can be connected to benefits for which they are eligible and possible hotel placements as part of the Emergency Assistance program which is the largest sheltering program in the CoC. Outreach programs work closely with community providers and also have access to limited rental assistance vouchers enabling them to house eligible unsheltered households. Encounters with unsheltered households are tracked in HMIS.

The outreach teams coordinated the 2016 unsheltered count and identified locations based on outreach work throughout the year. The teams coordinated with law enforcement, services agencies and homeless individuals to select targeted outreach locations.

**3A-7a. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. disasters)?** No

**3A-7b. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. deserts, wilderness, etc.)?  
(limit 1000 characters)**

No areas were excluded during the 2016 PIT count.

**3A-8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX. The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached.  
(mm/dd/yyyy)** 08/11/2016

**3A-8a. If the CoC was unable to submit their System Performance Measures data to HUD via the HDX by the deadline, explain why and describe what specific steps they are taking to ensure they meet the next HDX submission deadline for System Performance Measures data.  
(limit 1500 characters)**

Not Applicable

## 3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

### Objective 1: Ending Chronic Homelessness

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**To end chronic homelessness by 2017, HUD encourages three areas of focus through the implementation of Notice CPD 14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status.**

- 1. Targeting persons with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing and new permanent supportive housing;**
- 2. Prioritizing chronically homeless individuals, youth and families who have the longest histories of homelessness; and**
- 3. The highest needs for new and turnover units.**

**3B-1.1. Compare the total number of chronically homeless persons, which includes persons in families, in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).**

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered chronically homeless persons	172	73	-99
Sheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	165	57	-108
Unsheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	7	16	9

**3B-1.1a. Using the "Differences" calculated in question 3B-1.1 above, explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the overall TOTAL number of chronically homeless persons in the CoC, as well as the change in the unsheltered count, as reported in the PIT count in 2016 compared to 2015. (limit 1000 characters)**

There was a 57% decrease in the total chronic homeless population. Among sheltered homeless there was a 65% decrease while there was a 120% increase among the unsheltered chronically homeless population. The increase in the unsheltered chronically population is due in part to better street outreach. The other factor impacting the increase in the unsheltered chronic homeless population was the closing of encampments one month prior to the 2015 PIT count and movement of those individuals to apartments. Since the closing of the large encampment, smaller scattered unsheltered sites have developed over the course of the year. This has led to an overall increase in the unsheltered population.

**3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.**

	2015	2016	Difference
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homelessness persons identified on the HIC.	22	28	6

**3B-1.2a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of PSH beds (CoC program funded or non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count. (limit 1000 characters)**

The increase in beds dedicated to the chronically homeless is due to new PSH beds funded through prior CoC competitions becoming available within the last year.

**3B-1.3. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH as described in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status?** Yes

**3B-1.3a. If “Yes” was selected for question 3B-1.3, attach a copy of the CoC’s written standards or other evidence that clearly shows the incorporation of the Orders of Priority in Notice CPD 14-012 and indicate the page(s) for all documents where the Orders of Priority are found.** WS page 1



**3B-1.4. Is the CoC on track to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by 2017?** No

This question will not be scored.

**3B-1.4a. If the response to question 3B-1.4 was “Yes” what are the strategies that have been implemented by the CoC to maximize current resources to meet this goal? If “No” was selected, what resources or technical assistance will be implemented by the CoC to reach to goal of ending chronically homelessness by 2017? (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC is working to increase housing opportunities for the chronically homeless population and is actively exploring strategies that will assist in meeting the goal of ending chronic homelessness.

The CoC adopted the HUD Prioritization notice and will require all HUD funded programs to prioritize the chronically homeless. The CoC is in the process of developing the Coordinated Exit system which will use an assessment process that prioritizes the chronically homeless for referrals to all permanent supportive housing opportunities.

The CoC is working to secure homeless preferences from local PHAs. If successful, the Coordinated Exit system will refer chronically homeless households with case managers to available housing as appropriate.

The CoC will submit an application for the state Housing First program in 2017 during the next application cycle. NJ provides state rental assistance for programs that operate housing first programs targeting the chronically homeless population.

## 3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

### 3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**HUD will evaluate CoC's based on the extent to which they are making progress to achieve the goal of ending homelessness among households with children by 2020.**

**3B-2.1. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize households with children during the FY2016 Operating year? (Check all that apply).**

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of previous homeless episodes:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal History:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bad credit or rental history (including not having been a leaseholder):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Head of household has mental/physical disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**3B-2.2. Describe the CoC's strategies including concrete steps to rapidly rehouse every household with children within 30 days of those families becoming homeless. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC Permanent Housing Committee has developed several strategies to address the needs of homeless families in the community.

1.The Committee has designed a coordinated exist system in which all homeless households will be assessed for their level of need and referred to permanent supportive housing, rapid rehousing or affordable housing as appropriate. The CoC is requesting funding for the coordinated exit system in the FY2016 application with the intention of program implementation by fall of 2017

2.The Committee is working with local PHAs to secure a set aside of units for homeless individuals and families.

3.HABcore is applying for funds to implement a rapid re-housing program for families through the FY2016 CoC application as well as through the NJ State ESG program

**3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.**

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve families in the HIC:	0	3	3

**3B-2.4. How does the CoC ensure that emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC do not deny admission to or separate any family members from other members of their family based on age, sex, gender or disability when entering shelter or housing? (check all strategies that apply)**

CoC policies and procedures prohibit involuntary family separation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There is a method for clients to alert CoC when involuntarily separated:	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC holds trainings on preventing involuntary family separation, at least once a year:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**3B-2.5. Compare the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).**

**PIT Count of Homelessness Among Households With Children**

	2015 (for unsheltered count,		
FY2016 CoC Application		Page 43	09/11/2016

	most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with children:	120	78	-42
Sheltered Count of homeless households with children:	119	78	-41
Unsheltered Count of homeless households with children:	1	0	-1

**3B-2.5a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)**

Not applicable. The CoC experienced an overall 35% decrease in homeless families which included a 34% decrease in sheltered homeless families and a 100% decrease in unsheltered homeless families.

**3B-2.6. From the list below select the strategies to the CoC uses to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24, including the following.**

Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation?	No
LGBTQ youth homelessness?	Yes
Exits from foster care into homelessness?	Yes
Family reunification and community engagement?	Yes
Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs?	Yes
Unaccompanied minors/youth below the age of 18?	Yes

**3B-2.6a. Select all strategies that the CoC uses to address homeless youth trafficking and other forms of exploitation.**

Diversion from institutions and decriminalization of youth actions that stem from being trafficked:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increase housing and service options for youth fleeing or attempting to flee trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Specific sampling methodology for enumerating and characterizing local youth trafficking:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cross systems strategies to quickly identify and prevent occurrences of youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Community awareness training concerning youth trafficking:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

**3B-2.7. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 for housing and services during the FY 2016 operating year? (Check all that apply)**

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Length of time homeless:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Lack of access to family and community support networks:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**3B-2.8. Using HMIS, compare all unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2014 (October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014) and FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015).**

	FY 2014 (October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014)	FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015)	Difference
Total number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry:	0	2	2

**3B-2.8a. If the number of unaccompanied youth and children, and youth-headed households with children served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2015 is lower than FY 2014 explain why. (limit 1000 characters)**

Not Applicable

**3B-2.9. Compare funding for youth homelessness in the CoC's geographic**

**area in CY 2016 and CY 2017.**

	Calendar Year 2016	Calendar Year 2017	Difference
Overall funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded):	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
CoC Program funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects:			\$0.00
Non-CoC funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (e.g. RHY or other Federal, State and Local funding):			\$0.00

**3B-2.10. To what extent have youth services and educational representatives, and CoC representatives participated in each other's meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016?**

Cross-Participation in Meetings	# Times
CoC meetings or planning events attended by LEA or SEA representatives:	1
LEA or SEA meetings or planning events (e.g. those about child welfare, juvenile justice or out of school time) attended by CoC representatives:	0
CoC meetings or planning events attended by youth housing and service providers (e.g. RHY providers):	6

**3B-2.10a. Based on the responses in 3B-2.10, describe in detail how the CoC collaborates with the McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC hosted a Community Mapping event in which a variety of community stakeholders were invited to discuss services currently available in the community, evaluate how people access services, identify barriers to services and housing, and develop strategies to address the needs. The LEA provided information for the community survey sent out in preparation for the event, and attended the Community Mapping forum.

OCEAN Inc runs the Head Start program for the region and is an active member of the CoC attending all CoC and data subcommittee meetings. OCEAN Inc routinely shares information about the Head Start program at CoC meetings.

During the PIT preparation timeframe the CoC reaches out to the LEA to encourage participate in the count by local schools.

The CoC is working to develop a youth sub-committee in the next year and is working with Harbor House, the primary youth service provider in the region, to build a homeless youth subcommittee.

**3B-2.11. How does the CoC make sure that homeless individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for and receive access to educational services? Include the policies and**

**procedures that homeless service providers (CoC and ESG Programs) are required to follow.  
(limit 2000 characters)**

All programs serving school-aged youth complete a preliminary educational assessment upon family/youth enrollment in their programs. Youth in need of educational services or assistance with connecting to their home school district are connected to the homeless liaisons in their home district and provided with the services necessary to stabilize their education.

OCEAN Inc, the agency operating the HEAD start program in the community, attends all CoC meetings and provides information to community agencies about Head Start activities and program enrollment.

During the program monitoring process, CoC programs serving children are monitored for services provided and questions regarding attending to the educational needs of the children served are included in the service review of programs. CoC funded programs are informed during this process that they must provide case management assistance to households with school aged children to help connect them to early childhood education and address primary and secondary school education needs. Programs are encouraged to connect families with the homeless liaisons in the school to ensure children in the program receive all education services for which they are eligible.

**3B-2.12. Does the CoC or any HUD-funded projects within the CoC have any written agreements with a program that services infants, toddlers, and youth children, such as Head Start; Child Care and Development Fund; Healthy Start; Maternal, Infant, Early Childhood Home Visiting programs; Public Pre-K; and others?  
(limit 1000 characters)**

## 3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

### Objective 3: Ending Veterans Homelessness

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**Opening Doors outlines the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. The following questions focus on the various strategies that will aid communities in meeting this goal.**

**3B-3.1. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).**

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	7	2	-5
Sheltered count of homeless veterans:	6	1	-5
Unsheltered count of homeless veterans:	1	1	0

**3B-3.1a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless veterans in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC experienced an overall 71% decrease in homeless veterans. There was no change in the unsheltered homeless veteran count. The lack of change is due to the veteran’s outreach organizations actively working to identify homeless veterans and connect them with services. For many unsheltered veterans, the process of connecting them with housing can take time as engagement may be difficult. The CoC is actively working with all outreach organizations and veteran’s service providers to identify and connect homeless veterans to housing.



**3B-3.2. Describe how the CoC identifies, assesses, and refers homeless veterans who are eligible for Veterean's Affairs services and housing to appropriate reources such as HUD-VASH and SSVF. (limit 1000 characters)**

There are two veteran's organizations in the community that conduct outreach among homeless and at-risk veterans. Vet group has long served the Ocean County region connecting homeless veterans to VA benefits and domiciliary programs. When necessary, and provided resources are available, Vetgroup has temporarily sheltered veterans as they work to connect them with programs.

Soldier On is the SSVF provider in the community and works closely with Vetgroup and other homeless service providers to identify veterans and connect them with SSVF and HUD VASH resources.

All veterans are referred to Vetgroup and Soldier On where they are connected to eligibility specialist that determine the eligibility for VA programs. Both Vetgroup and Soldier On provide transportation and case management as necessary to assist homeless veterans in accessing the programs.

**3B-3.3. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC and the total number of unsheltered homeless Veterans in the CoC, as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT Count compared to the 2010 PIT Count (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010).**

	2010 (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010)	2016	% Difference
Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	10	1	-90.00%
Unsheltered Count of homeless veterans:	1	1	0.00%

**3B-3.4. Indicate from the dropdown whether you are on target to end Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016.** Yes

This question will not be scored.

**3B-3.4a. If "Yes", what are the strategies being used to maximize your current resources to meet this goal? If "No" what resources or technical assistance would help you reach the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016? (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC recently approved a request to expand the SSVF program for homeless veterans in the region. This will increase the number of SSVF providers in the CoC to two agencies.

Ocean Inc, an affordable housing developer in the region, was recently awarded 10 vouchers through the state rental assistance program to provide housing to homeless veterans. Ocean Inc is in the process of constructing 24 “tiny” homes of which 10 will be set aside for homeless veterans.

In addition, a new permanent supportive housing campus for homeless veterans was approved in a neighboring community. This campus will provide between 50 and 100 units of permanent housing and will be operated by Soldier On, the CoC’s current SSVF provider.

## 4A. Accessing Mainstream Benefits

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**4A-1. Does the CoC systematically provide information to provider staff about mainstream benefits, including up-to-date resources on eligibility and program changes that can affect homeless clients?** Yes

**4A-2. Based on the CoC's FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of projects have demonstrated they are assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits? This includes all of the following within each project: transportation assistance, use of a single application, annual follow-ups with participants, and SOAR-trained staff technical assistance to obtain SSI/SSDI?**

### FY 2016 Assistance with Mainstream Benefits

Total number of project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	9
Total number of renewal and new project applications that demonstrate assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits (i.e. In a Renewal Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 2a, 2b and 2c on Screen 4A. In a New Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 5a, 5b, 5c, 6, and 6a on Screen 4A).	9
Percentage of renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that have demonstrated assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits:	100%

**4A-3. List the organizations (public, private, non-profit and other) that you collaborate with to facilitate health insurance enrollment, (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, Affordable Care Act options) for program participants. For each organization you partner with, detail the specific outcomes resulting from the partnership in the establishment of benefits. (limit 1000 characters)**

New Jersey is a Medicaid expansion state. All hospitals enroll the uninsured in temporary Medicaid. Upon discharge, households are referred to the local welfare agency and are enrolled in Medicaid and other mainstream benefits.

Ocean Health Initiatives operates 4 FQHC sites in the region and has staff responsible for fully enrolling all uninsured households in Medicaid.

Coastal Volunteers in Medicine is a free clinic in the southern portion of the

region that provides medical services to the uninsured. They have a certified application counselor who assists households with health insurance enrollment. Coastal Volunteers has provided information at CoC meetings and participates in the annual project homeless connect events tied to the PIT count.

The Family Resource Network and Food Bank of Monmouth and Ocean County are the Healthcare Navigator organizations responsible for enrolling the uninsured in Medicaid or other insurance.

**4A-4. What are the primary ways the CoC ensures that program participants with health insurance are able to effectively utilize the healthcare benefits available to them?**

Educational materials:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
In-Person Trainings:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transportation to medical appointments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable or None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 4B. Additional Policies

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?**

### FY 2016 Low Barrier Designation

Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	9
Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications that selected "low barrier" in the FY 2016 competition:	9
Percentage of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as "low barrier":	100%

**4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 Projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?**

### FY 2016 Projects Housing First Designation

Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	9
Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications that selected Housing First in the FY 2016 competition:	9
Percentage of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as Housing First:	100%

**4B-3. What has the CoC done to ensure awareness of and access to housing and supportive services within the CoC's geographic area to persons that could benefit from CoC-funded programs but are not currently participating in a CoC funded program? In particular, how does the CoC reach out to for persons that are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?**

Direct outreach and marketing:	<input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------------	---

Use of phone or internet-based services like 211:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Marketing in languages commonly spoken in the community:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Making physical and virtual locations accessible to those with disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.**

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve all populations in the HIC:	2	8	6

**4B-5. Are any new proposed project applications requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?** No

**4B-6. If "Yes" in Questions 4B-5, then describe the activities that the project(s) will undertake to ensure that employment, training and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons to comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) and HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 135? (limit 1000 characters)**

**4B-7. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes?** No

**4B-7a. If "Yes", to question 4B-7, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must**

**include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 2500 characters)**

**4B-8. Has the project been affected by a major disaster, as declared by the President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended (Public Law 93-288) in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?** No

**4B-8a. If "Yes" in Question 4B-8, describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)**

**4B-9. Did the CoC or any of its CoC program recipients/subrecipients request technical assistance from HUD since the submission of the FY 2015 application? This response does not affect the scoring of this application.** No

**4B-9a. If "Yes" to Question 4B-9, check the box(es) for which technical assistance was requested.**

This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

CoC Governance:	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC Systems Performance Measurement:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coordinated Entry:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data reporting and data analysis:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless subpopulations targeted by Opening Doors: veterans, chronic, children and families, and unaccompanied youth:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maximizing the use of mainstream resources:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Retooling transitional housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rapid re-housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under-performing program recipient, subrecipient or project:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**4B-9b. Indicate the type(s) of Technical Assistance that was provided, using the categories listed in 4B-9a, provide the month and year the CoC Program recipient or sub-recipient received the assistance and the value of the Technical Assistance to the CoC/recipient/sub recipient involved given the local conditions at the time, with 5 being the highest value and a 1 indicating no value.**

Type of Technical Assistance Received	Date Received	Rate the Value of the Technical Assistance



## 4C. Attachments

**Instructions:**

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site:  
<https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource>

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC's communication to rejected participants	Yes		
02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence	Yes		
03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)	Yes		
04. CoC's Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence	Yes		
05. CoCs Process for Reallocating	Yes		
06. CoC's Governance Charter	Yes		
07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual	Yes		
08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes	No		
09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)	Yes		
10. CoC-HMIS MOU (if referenced in the CoC's Governance Charter)	No		
11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority	No		
12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)	No		
13. HDX-system Performance Measures	Yes		
14. Other	No		
15. Other	No		

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## Submission Summary

**Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.**

Page	Last Updated
<b>1A. Identification</b>	08/26/2016
<b>1B. CoC Engagement</b>	08/19/2016
<b>1C. Coordination</b>	09/11/2016
FY2016 CoC Application	Page 61
	09/11/2016

<b>1D. CoC Discharge Planning</b>	08/19/2016
<b>1E. Coordinated Assessment</b>	09/11/2016
<b>1F. Project Review</b>	09/11/2016
<b>1G. Addressing Project Capacity</b>	08/19/2016
<b>2A. HMIS Implementation</b>	08/25/2016
<b>2B. HMIS Funding Sources</b>	09/11/2016
<b>2C. HMIS Beds</b>	09/11/2016
<b>2D. HMIS Data Quality</b>	08/26/2016
<b>2E. Sheltered PIT</b>	08/25/2016
<b>2F. Sheltered Data - Methods</b>	08/20/2016
<b>2G. Sheltered Data - Quality</b>	08/20/2016
<b>2H. Unsheltered PIT</b>	08/25/2016
<b>2I. Unsheltered Data - Methods</b>	08/25/2016
<b>2J. Unsheltered Data - Quality</b>	08/20/2016
<b>3A. System Performance</b>	09/11/2016
<b>3B. Objective 1</b>	09/11/2016
<b>3B. Objective 2</b>	Please Complete
<b>3B. Objective 3</b>	08/26/2016
<b>4A. Benefits</b>	09/11/2016
<b>4B. Additional Policies</b>	09/11/2016
<b>4C. Attachments</b>	Please Complete
<b>Submission Summary</b>	No Input Required